

REMARKS

Claims 1 through 27 are currently pending in the application.

This amendment is in response to the Office Action of March 26, 2004.

Preliminary Amendment

Applicant notes the filing of a Preliminary Amendment on November 7, 2003, which filing was not acknowledged in the outstanding Office Action. Should the Preliminary Amendment have failed to have been entered in the Office file, Applicant will provide a true copy to the Examiner.

Double Patenting Rejection Based on U.S. Patent 6,605,489

Claims 1 through 27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 through 31 of U.S. Patent 6,605,489. In order to avoid further expenses and time delay, Applicant elects to expedite the prosecution of the present application by filing a terminal disclaimer to obviate the double patenting rejections in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.321 (b) and (c). Applicant's filing of the terminal disclaimer should not be construed as acquiescence of the Examiner's double patenting or obviousness-type double patenting rejection. Attached is the terminal disclaimer and accompanying fee.

Applicant requests the allowance of claims 1 through 27 and the case passed for issue.

Respectfully submitted,



James R. Duzan
Registration No. 28,393
Attorney for Applicant(s)
TRASKBRITT
P.O. Box 2550
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550
Telephone: 801-532-1922

Date: June 25, 2004
JRD/sls:djp
Document in ProLaw